# Social Capital and Knowledge Management in an Organisation

Sławomir Winch Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences

International Knowledge and Innovation Networks for European Integration, Cohesion and Enlargement

ERSA 2006 VOLOS, GREECE

# The aims of the research:

- 1. Aanalysis knowledge and social capital,
- Description mechanism transfer knowledge on relationship among form of ownership of enterprise,
- 3. Iindicate a connection between business ownership type, social capital, and knowledge management.

# The main thesis

Social capital is highly relevant to the creation of knowledge and the development of competitive advantage in organisations.

# Social capital

Social capital is defined and understood in an ability of all people in enterprises to collaborate with each other within groups to realization common interests (Coleman, Fukuyama).

# Knowledge

# Knowledge was an information and abilitieses taken advantage by units for solving problems.

(Hampden – Turner, Trompenaars)

The main subject of relations

Contact

nstitutions

Finance Customer Transfer of Local Formal Info

Institutions	Finance	/market/	innovation	society	(law)	(trust)	integration
Public institutions	-	-	-	+	+	-	low

The level

of

low

low

medium

high

low

+ - -

**Financial** 

**Institutions** 

Regional and

**Local Associations** 

Sektoral Cluster

Universities

and Research Centers

**International** 

**Institutions** 

#### Twelve areas of knowledge management

Areas of knowledge management					
Exchange of experience between staff members					
Appreciation of high-competency staff members					
Organisation of in-house meetings with experts in particular fields of speciality					
Promoting staff initiative rather than obedience					
Reimbursement of costs incurred due to participation in conferences					
Performance-related remuneration policy					
Providing opportunities for professional development					
Functioning of knowledge acquisition procedures					
Training policy					
Need for acquiring new ideas					
Company website with exchange forum to share experience with one another					
Participation in web forums					

#### **Percentage distributions for Knowledge Management Success Factors**

Category	I definitely agree or somewhat agree /%/
Exchange of experience between staff members	61,0
Appreciation of high-competency staff members	54,3
Organisation of in-house meetings with experts in particular fields of speciality	50,1
Need for acquiring new ideas	38,5
Company website with exchange forum to share experience with one another	36,1
Participation in web forums	11,5

#### Ownership vs. knowledge /%/

	Ownership					
Level of knowledge management	knowledge					
	State Treasury	Polish capital	Foreign capital			
High	17.6	22.9	32.9			
Medium	55.9	47.9	48.8			
Low	23.5	20.8	18.3			
No data available	3.0	8.4	0.0			
Total	100%	100%	100%			

#### Financial standing vs. ownership and knowledge management %

	Ownership								
Financial standing	State Treasury			Private – Polish capital			Private – foreign capital		
	Level of knowledge management								
	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low
Very good	50.0	14.3	0.0	26.0	25.0	20.0	58.3	40.0	20.0

# Factors of knowledge

- 1. technological process,
- 2. market tactics,
- and both technological process and market tactics.

## Typical comment of technological approach:

"In most cases such knowledge is configured in an operational manner, involving collaboration on specific projects with external specialists. Required craft is developed in a distinctive sphere of products and services ..."

## Typical comment of market approach:

"Another merit is that it helps create brand awareness through cooperation with reputed partners."

#### Typical comment of technological and market approach:

" With specialised know-how falling short, one can hardly design, manufacture and obtain necessary licences to run and service such equipment. Strict customer demands, actions of competition progress are a few contributors to the growth and improvement of specialised knowledge which must be translated into the final product."

#### Conclusions

As shown by IKINET results, further knowledge transfer within an organisation is ensured via structures responsible for the completion of specific tasks and assignments. In that event knowledge assumes the form of procedures – is codified.

## **Conclusions**

Social capital may be a platform for such transfer, facilitated through interactions between individual staff members. Qualitative research indicates that in a vast majority of cases knowledge exchange happens inside organisations rather than through their relations with external environment. As a result, organisations may tend to 'shut off' from one another for fear that their finance or position in the market would suffer

### **Conclusions**

Standards of knowledge management high would result in very good financial standing and a strong market position. There are differences in that respect between organisations, resulting from their size and ownership pattern.

## DZIEKUJE ZA UWAGE!!!

Thank you for your attention!!!